A Notice announcing delegation will be published in the Federal RegISter in the neer future. The Notice will state, among other things, that effective Immediately. all reports pursuant to the above-enumerated Pederal NSPS and NESHAP regulations by sources located in the State of Delaware should be submitted to the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. 89 Kings Highway. Dover. Delaware 19901. In addition to EPA Region III, any onglnal reports which are received by EPA region UI will be promptly transmitted to DNREC.

Since this delegation 18 effective Immediately, there Is no requirement that DNREC notify EPA of Its acceptance. Unles8 EPA receives from DNREC written notice of obJection8 ten (10) days of receipt of this letter, DNREC wiu be deemed to have accepted all of the terms of delegation.

Sincerely

Edwin B. Enckson.

Reg/anal

The Office of Management and **Budgel** has exempted this delegation of authority from the of section 3 of the Executive Order 12291.

Authority: 11[c) and 112{d). the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7412(d).

Edwin B. Erickson,

RegIOnal Adm/mstrotor.

Title 40. chapter I of the Code of Federal Regulations IS amended as follows:

PART 60-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citalion for part 60 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 52 U.S.c. 7401. 7411. 7414. **7418**, and 7601.

160." [Amended]

2. Section 6O.4(b)(l) Is amended by removing the parenthetical statement.

PART 61-[AMENDED]

3. The authority citation for part 61 continues to read as follows:

Authority: SecB. 101, 112, 114. 116, lind **301** of the Clean Act. a8 amended [42 U.S.C. 7401.7412. 7414. 7416, and 7601).

§ 61.04 [Amended)

[FRL 3652-21

4. Section $61.04(b)\{I\}$ 18 amended by removing the parenthetical statement.

[FR Doc. Filed 10-2-89; 8:45 amI 81WNO CODE \$560-50-4

40 CFR Parts 123 and 403

Approval of California'. Revisions to the State National Pollution Discharge

AGENCY: EnVironmental Protection Agency.

Elimination System Program

ACTION: Notice of approval the National Pollutant Discharge ElimInation System (NPDES)
Pretreatment Program. approval to Issue NPDES general permits and approval of reVISions to the existing NPDES permit regulations of the State of CaHfomla.

SUMMARY: On September 22. 1989. the Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX spproved the State of Califorrua NPDES Pretreatment Program which authOrizes the State of Californta to administer the National Pretreatment Program as it applies to mumclpalities and industries within the State. EPA, Region IX also approved authority of the State of Califorma to tssue NPDES general permits and approved reViSions to the State's eXisting NPDES permit regulations.

EFFECTIVE September 22, 1989.

FURTHER INFORMATION William H. Pierce, Chief, Permits Branch, Waler Management DiVISion, 215 Fremont Street. San FranCISCo. CA 94105

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWAJ (33 U.S.C.1251 et seq.J requires EPA to adnumster the NPDES permit program under which the Agency may Issue permits for the discharge of pollutants mto waters of the United States accordance with conditions reqUired by the Act. Section 402(b) of the CWA prOVides for States to assume NPDES permitting responsibilities upon approval by EPA. States also may request authority to Issue general permits for Similar dischargers with the same effluent limitations. (See 40 CFR 122.28.J In addition, under section 54 of the 1977 amendments to the CWA. States requesting NPDES permitting authority. as well as States already approved to adnunlster the NPDES permit program. must also request permitting authority over dischargers from federal facilities located withID the State and authority to admlruster the federal pretreatment program governIng the Introduction of non-domestic publicly owned treatment pollutants works (POTWa). (ef. CWA section 402(nJ 33 U.S.C. 1342(nJ,J After EPA approves a State's request for NPDES permit and/or pretreatment authority, the State must thereafter submit any proposed program reVISIOns to EPA for reapproval pursuant to 40 CFR 123.62(b),

On May 14. 1973, CalifornJa became the first State to be approved by EPA to admmJster the NPDES permit program. On May 5. 1978. it also became the fJJst

State to receIve EPA approval to regulate discharges from federal facilities

On June 8. 1989. California submitted an application to EPA for approval of reVISions to its approved NPDES accordance with 40 CFR 123.62 and 403.10. ThiS application Included a request to add pretreatment and general permit authority to Its approved program. It also request for EPA approval of reVISIOns to the State's eXisting NPDES permit regulations. (Califorma does not have, and has not requested. EPA approval to administer the NPDES and pretreatment programs on Indian lands.J Pursuant to 40 CFR 123.62(bJ and 403.10(gJ. California submitted support of its applicatioD an Attorney General's Statement copies of all applicable State statutes and regulatioDs) certifyIng that the State has adequate authority to admuuster the NPDES program being sought. a program description describing how the State Intends to carry out its responsibilities. and a proposed EPA/California Memorandum of Agreement. These documents were reVISions of the copies submitted to EPA when California sought approval of Its eXisting NPDES permit program.

With respect to CalifornJa's request for approval of reVISions to the Slate's eXisting NPDES permit regulations. EPA has approved the State's request to Implement the State permit program under State law. which. according to the California Attorney General, Incorporates by reference all and future federal NPDES law and regulations. Specifically, the Attorney General has certified that the Parter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter-Cologne Act), which unplements the California NPDES program. Incorporates federal NPDES and pretreatment law and regulations prospectively. mearung that future amendments to federal law and regulations are automatically Incorporated mto State law without the need for amendment of State statutes and regulations. (In support of tlus authority for prospective Incorporation by reference. the California Attorney General has cited the Porter-Cologne Act. Water Code sections 13160, 13170, 13177 13385,13386. and 13387.) The CalifornJa Attorney General also has certified that regulations adopted by the California State Water Resources Control Board. the StateWIde NPDES

in corporate EPA regulations applicable to the processing of NPDES applications at d 188uance of NPDES pennits. (The control of the ed., State regulations in the Attorney in the actions 2235.1 (cJ., 2235.2. and 235.4) Such prospective Incorporation of federal law and regulations IS, a cording to the California Attorney in the ed. (235.4) authorized under a wand the State's Constitution.

As discussed above, Califorma also s requested authority to Issue NPDES neral permits and administer the etreatment program. With respect to neral pennit authority, EPA gulations at 40 CFR 122.28 provide for : Issuance of general pennits to gulate discharges of waste water nich result from Similar operations, are the same type of wastes, require the me effluent limitations, require Similar onitormg, and are more appropriiltely ntrolled under a general permit rather an by individual permits. EPA IS provmg California's request for neral permit authority. Each general rmit proposed by the State will be bject to EPA review and approval as OVided by 40 CFR 123.44(aJ(2J. Public tice and opportunity to request a anng also must be prOVIded for each h neral permit. g

EPA IS also approvmg Califorma's quest for pretreatment authority. lifornia has demonstrated that there appropriate legal authority, ocedures, availablefunding, and alified personnel to unplement the gram as specified in 40 CFR 403.10. e State will Implement its treatment program under the Porterlogne Act provIsions which ospectively meorporate federal law p d regulations. Under the CWA and E A regulations at 40 CFR part 403. the mary objectives of the pretreatment p: ogram are to: (1) Prevent the roduction of pollutants Into POTWs ich will Interfere with plant erations and/or disposal or use of miCipal sludge; (2) prevent the roduction of pollutants mto POTWs m ich will pass through treatment rks In unacceptable amounts to elvmg waters; and (3J Improve the sibility of recycling and reclalmmg miCipal and mdustrlal wastewater d sludge. Local pretreatment ograms will be the pnmary vehIcle for mlnJstenng, applymg, and enforCIng lifornla's pretreatment requirements. rrently, 102 such programs have been proved by EPA. Where local programs ve not yet been reqUired or developed California. the State must apply and

afforce the pretreatment requirements

directly agamst Industries that discharge to POTWs (e.g., 40 CPR 403.10(f)(2J(iJ).1

The RegIOnal Admlmstrator's deCISIon to approve California's proposed program reVISions, meluding its request for pretreatment and general permit authority, IS based on a determmation that the program meets the reqUIrements of the Clean Water Acland 40 CPR parts 122, 123, 124, and 403. The public was notified in the July 20. 1989 Federal Register (54 FR 30405J of the submittal. public comment penod and opportunity to request a public heaning, and EPA's proposal to approve all requested program reVISIons. In addition, notice was prOVIded four maJor newspapers In the State on July 20,1989 and notice was prOVided to all POTWs with approved pretreatment programs. No comments were received by EPA dunng the public comment penod which ended September 5, 1989.

California's pretreatment program, as well as its reVised NPDES permit program, IS administered by the CalifornIa State Water Resources Control Board and mne RegIOnal Water Quality Control Boards.

ReVIew Under Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted thIS rule from the review reqUirements of Executive Order 12291 pursuant to section 6(bJ of that Order.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, EPA IS reqUITed to prepare a Regulatory Flexibility AnalySIS for all rules whIch may have a Significant Impact on a substantial number of small entities. ApprovaJ of California's NPDES program reVISions, meluding the addition of pretreatment and general permit authority, does not alter the regulatory control over any mUniClpal or mdustrlal category. No new substantive regwrements are established by thIS action. Therefore, smce thiS notice does not have 8 Significant Impact on a substantial number of small entities. a Regulatory Flexibility AnalYSIS IS not

Daled: September 22.1989,

ohn Wise,

Acting Regional Administrator for Region IX [FR Doc. 89-23163 Filed 10-2-89; 8:45 am) 81WNG CODE 8580-50-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 531

(Docket No. LVM 89-01; Notice 1)

Passenger Automobile Average Fuel Economy Standards; Denial of PetlUons for Exemption by Low Volume Petitioners

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. ACTION: Demal of petitions for exemption from average fuel economy standards and for establishment of alternative standards.

SUMMARY: This consolidated notice responds to mdiVldual petitions filed by four low volume manufacturers, Bitter, Ferrari, Lotus, and Maserati, each requesting exemption from the general y applicable passenger automobile average fuel economy standards, and that lower alternative standards be established for each model year (MYJ from which they seek exemption. This notice denies each petition as follows:

Bitter Automobile of America, Inc. (Bitter) petitioned to be exempted for MYs 1963 through 1987 ThIs notice demes Bitler's request because the Bitler petition and its amendment were not timely filed for those years and good cause was not shown for the Jate filing

Ferran S.p.A. (FerrariJ petitioned to be exempted for MYs 1986 through 1988. A separate notice published on December 10, 1986 (51 PR 44492) proposed to grant Ferrari's petition for MY 1986, establishing an alternative standard of 16.0 miles per gallon (mpgJ and for MY 1988, establishing an alternative standard of 18.6 mpg. For MY 1967 this notice demes Ferrari's request because Ferran was not eligible for an exemption as a low volume manufacturer for that model year.

Lotus Cars Ltd. (Lotus) petitioned to be exempted for MYs1963 through 1987 This notice demes Lotus' request because the Lotus petition was not timely filed for MYs 1983 through 1985 and good cause was not shown for the late filing. ThiS notice also demes Lotus' request for MYs 1986 and 1987 The agency concludes that Lotus was meligible those years for exemption as a low volume manufacturer.

Officme Alfien MaseraH S.p.A. (MaseratiJ petitioned to be exempted for MYs1982 through 1985. ThIs nollce demes Maserati's request for MYs 1982 through 1983 because the Maserati petition was not timely filed for those

Accordins to the CalifornIa Attorney General, the requIrements of the CWA and ImplemenUng IOcorporated by reference by the Porter-Cologne Act. but are not limited to preIreatment.tondsrds and requIrement. for IUs of POTWs (for example 40 CPR 403.5, 403.8 and 403.12).